SYNTAX, SEMANTICS, AND PRAGMATICS IN TEXT INTERPRETATION: THE ROLE OF LINGUISTIC STRUCTURE IN MEANING-MAKING

Lola Namazovna Alibaeva
Senior Lecturer, Department of Russian and World Literature, TerSU.
alibayeval@tersu.uz

ABSTRACT

This article investigates how syntax, semantics, and pragmatics work together to shape text interpretation, emphasizing that language understanding involves more than individual words. Syntax provides structure, semantics conveys word meaning, and pragmatics adds context, allowing for a more nuanced interpretation. Through qualitative analysis of various examples, the study illustrates how each linguistic component contributes uniquely to meaning-making. The study advocates for further research into cross-linguistic and cultural influences on meaning-making.

Keywords: Syntax, Semantics, Pragmatics, Text Interpretation, Linguistic Structure, Contextual Analysis, Language Ambiguity, Meaning-Making, Qualitative Analysis, Cross-Cultural Linguistics

INTRODUCTION

Text interpretation involves multiple layers of language processing that go beyond individual words. Syntax defines the structure, semantics provides the meaning, and pragmatics offers contextual relevance. For instance, consider the sentence, "She can’t go out." Syntactically, it is simple; semantically, it suggests a restriction. However, without pragmatics, we don’t know if "she" is forbidden, unable, or simply uninterested. Thus, each layer adds depth and nuance to interpretation. This study investigates how syntax, semantics, and pragmatics interact to guide meaning, especially in situations where text alone may be ambiguous.

Methods

This study uses qualitative analysis, focusing on examples from various texts to illustrate the role of syntax, semantics, and pragmatics. Selected examples include literary texts, everyday conversations, and ambiguous sentences that require contextual understanding. Analysis proceeds as follows:

1. **Syntactic Analysis** – Reviewing sentence structures and how they inform meaning.
2. **Semantic Analysis** – Assessing word meanings and how they combine within sentences.

3. **Pragmatic Analysis** – Examining how context affects interpretation, including implied meanings.

Each example is examined from these three linguistic angles to understand the interplay in interpretation.

Results

Through examining our examples, we see that syntax, semantics, and pragmatics each contribute uniquely to meaning-making.

Example 1: Structural Ambiguity

Consider the sentence: "The professor discussed the assignment with the student in the library."

- **Syntax**: The sentence structure is ambiguous, as it could mean either that the discussion took place in the library or that the student is associated with the library (e.g., a student who works there).
- **Semantics**: Semantically, the words "professor," "assignment," "student," and "library" each carry their own meanings but do not clarify the relationship without more context.
- **Pragmatics**: Pragmatically, if we know that the professor and student often meet in the library, we interpret it as describing the meeting location. However, if we know the student works there, we interpret it differently. Pragmatic cues provide the necessary clarification.

Example 2: Lexical Ambiguity and Context

Consider the phrase: "He banked on her honesty."

- **Syntax**: The sentence is grammatically sound, with "banked on" as the main verb phrase.
- **Semantics**: Semantics tells us that "bank" could mean either "rely on" or refer to a financial institution, but "banked on" has an idiomatic meaning that leans toward "rely on."
- **Pragmatics**: In this context, knowing the interpersonal relationship between the characters adds understanding. Pragmatically, we interpret "banked on" as trusting her honesty, as this is a more likely intent in conversational contexts than a literal banking meaning.

Example 3: Deictic References

Consider: "She'll be there tomorrow."

- **Syntax**: This sentence follows a simple syntactic structure: subject-verb-adverb.
- **Semantics**: Semantically, we know "she" is a person and "there" refers to a place, but it is vague without further details.

Pragmatics: Pragmatically, if we know the speaker's context, we understand where "there" refers to and why "tomorrow" is significant. This understanding requires context beyond syntax and semantics.

Example 4: Social and Cultural Context

Consider: "Break a leg."

- **Syntax**: The syntax here is straightforward, with a single imperative verb phrase.
- **Semantics**: Semantically, the words "break" and "leg" have literal meanings, which, without context, imply an injury.
- **Pragmatics**: Pragmatically, in English-speaking cultures, "break a leg" is understood as a phrase of encouragement in performing arts. This cultural context informs the interpretation, overriding the literal meaning of the words.

DISCUSSION

The analysis shows that syntax, semantics, and pragmatics are all essential to accurately interpret language. Syntax provides structure but cannot always resolve ambiguity. Semantics adds core meanings but lacks context, while pragmatics considers the situational factors that complete the interpretive process. For instance, ambiguous phrases like "break a leg" demonstrate how culture and social context shape understanding. Similarly, deixis and references like "there" or "tomorrow" illustrate the limitations of syntax and semantics alone in capturing the full meaning. Integrating these components is crucial for accurate language interpretation, particularly in fields such as AI language processing, translation, and language teaching.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significance of combining syntax, semantics, and pragmatics for effective text interpretation. An integrated approach allows for a richer understanding of how linguistic structures shape meaning. Future studies could further explore this relationship across different languages and dialects to understand how meaning-making may vary cross-culturally, especially in translation and multilingual communication.

REFERENCES:

1. Alibaeva, L. (2022). Роль и место дистанционного обучения в образовании. Science and innovation, 1(B6), 79-81.

2. Namazovna, A. L. (2018). The importance of using authentic materials in ESP classes. Евразийский научный журнал, (6), 255-256.
3. Алибаева, Л. (2022). Понятие принципа интеграции в построении образовательного процесса. Общество и инновации, 3(2/S), 159-163.
4. Fakhriddinovna, K. B., & Fakhriddinovna, U. N. (2021). The Use of Interactive Bilingual Learning for Teaching English Language the Ages Of 5-6. Texas Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 3, 135-138.
5. Karshiyeva, B. (2023). Solving complex communicative-knowledge tasks based on integrated bilingual education in English. Interpretation and researches, 1(18).
6. Faxriddinovna, K. B. (2023). THEORETICAL VIEWS OF TEACHING ENGLISH TO ENGINEERING STUDENTS. The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations, 5(12), 113-116.